

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 02-7692

KENNETH N. HAMMOND; CHARLES EDWARD FORRESTER,
JR.; PHILLIP DUCKETT,

Petitioners,

versus

DAVID A. GARRAGHTY, Warden; DISTRICT OF
COLUMBIA AGENCIES; UNITED STATES PAROLE
COMMISSION; ANTHONY WILLIAMS, Mayor; EDWARD F.
REILLY, JR., Chairman, United States Parole
Commission; ODIE WASHINGTON, in his official
capacity as Director, D. C. Department of
Corrections; JOHN ASHCROFT, Attorney General,

Respondents - Appellees,

versus

KENNETH A. HINTON,

Movant - Appellant,

ORLANDO R. WILLIS BEY,

Movant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern
District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Claude M. Hilton, Chief
District Judge. (CA-02-864-AM)

Submitted: February 10, 2003

Decided: March 10, 2003

Before WIDENER, NIEMEYER, and TRAXLER, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Kenneth A. Hinton, Appellant Pro Se. Kimberly C. Matthews, OFFICE OF CORPORATION COUNSEL, Washington, D.C.; Richard Parker, Major Francis Patrick King, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Alexandria, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Kenneth A. Hinton seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his motion to join an action under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (2000). This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2000), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2000); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541 (1949). The order Hinton seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. See Bhd. of R.R. Trainmen v. Baltimore & Ohio R.R. Co., 331 U.S. 519, 524-25 (1947) (finding that denial of motion for permissive intervention not immediately appealable). Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We deny Hinton's motion to expedite as moot. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED